

Swale Council

East st
Sittingbourne.


ANNEX A.

Parking in East st.

not happy with hour parking.
people pop in my shop to get
papers etc. no were to park,
then they go some were else
leave it as it is. Thankyou

There are bussinesses trying to survive, but with this in
place, things are going to be difficult to run a bussiness


4/07/2013



ANNEX A.

Dear Swale borough Council

I object so much for 1 hour parking in east st. There will be no were to park for customers who just want to pop in and go, which relates to most my trade 80%. This will be the end of my shop which has been running in east st for or since 1937. Its bad enough now. please $\frac{1}{2}$ hour not "so bad". Thank you



PS. If not, can it be monitored for a couple of months.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 July 2013 10:57
To: Brett O'Connell
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Yellow line objection along Queenborough Road

ANNEX A.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2013 21:51
Subject: Yellow line objection

To Mr O'Connell

I wish to strongly object to the extension of double yellow lines in the Cul de Sac, Queenborough Road.

From our conversation, by phone, I understand that certain residents have led you to believe cars are constantly parked on the corner, causing access problems - this is not the case.

As the Cul de Sac is next to a primary school cars sometimes stop there to drop off or pick up, but do not park there long term. I was told, after the extra 2 disable bays were installed in the Cul de Sac, that more parking restrictions would not be expected. Yet, only a few months ago, myself and several neighbours objected to a similar proposal to this one - now we are finding that our objections were for nothing!

I hope this objection will not be ignored.

Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Queenborough Road
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

ANNEX B.

Re, 002 East Street Parking Restrictions.

5th August 2013.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing with reference to the above, I have received your letter detailing the outcome of the questionnaire.

I strongly feel the need to reply and request a satisfactory outcome, as a business trying to make ends meet and have been trading in east street for over twenty years we are suffering due to the time limits on parking for our customers twenty minutes parking is not long enough for a customer to order their meal and eat it.

We have had several customers walk out with their food left on the plate because the traffic wardens are issuing parking penalties out side, these customers are not returning and are eating else where, trade is falling and I feel concerned for my business and the staff I employ that we may be the next casualty in east street to go under.

Many businesses that traded in east street and failed due to this will never return, we are here to do business, employ members of the Sittingbourne community, and to offer a service to the residents and work force of Sittingbourne.

I am not on my own on this issue, there are other businesses trading in East Street that are also affected and are struggling to trade, your results showed an overall majority of those questioned 26% were in favour of the increased parking limit to one hour, 14% were in favour of an increase to 45 minutes only 9% were in favour of the parking limits to remain at 20 minutes, I feel that the majority of 40% who wish the parking limit to be extended should be heard.

Compromise is a good thing, if we can not have the limit raised to one hour please give us 45 minutes as this would I am sure benefit the majority of businesses and help us to continue trading in East Street.

Urgently awaiting your response, and hopefully a satisfactory outcome.

Yours Sincerely.

